CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND ENVIRONMENT

Venue: Town Hall, Date: Wednesday, 25th May, 2011

Moorgate Street, Rotherham. S60 2TH

Time: 12.30 p.m.

AGENDA

- 1. To determine if the following matters are likely to be considered under the categories suggested, in accordance with Part 1 of Schedule 12A (as amended March 2006) to the Local Government Act 1972.
- 2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered later in the agenda as a matter or urgency.
- 3. Duke of Norfolk estate Proposed No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions. (report attached) (Pages 1 7)

Richard Pardy, Assistant Engineer, to report.

- to report receipt of representations including a petition regarding the promotion of a Traffic Regulation Order providing additional No Waiting At Any time restrictions on the Duke of Norfolk estate, Moorgate.

Date of Next Meeting Monday, 6th June, 2011

Members:

Councillor Smith, Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Environment
Councillor Walker, Senior Adviser
Councillor Tweed, Adviser
(Councillor Pickering, Chair, Planning Board;
Councillor Dodson, Vice-Chair, Planning Board
Councillor Whysall, Chair, Improving Places Select Commission
Councillor Falvey, Vice-Chair, Improving Places Select Commission)

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL - REPORT TO MEMBERS

1.	Meeting:	Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Environment
2.	Date:	25th May 2011
3.	Title:	Duke of Norfolk estate Proposed No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions Ward 2 Boston Castle.
4.	Directorate:	Environment and Development Services

5. Summary

To report the receipt of representations, including a petition regarding the promotion a Traffic Regulation Order providing additional No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on the Duke of Norfolk Estate, Moorgate.

6. Recommendations

Cabinet Member resolves that:

- The proposal as currently advertised should be implemented and then monitored for 2 months.
- Traffic Regulation Order be made by the Assistant Chief Executive of Legal and Democratic Services.
- The representation including lead petitioner be acknowledged and informed of the above recommendations.

7. Proposals and Details

Further to the report dated 21 September 2009 to the Cabinet Member for Regeneration, Economic Development, Planning and Transportation, Minute number 58; which reported receipt of a 59 signature petition requiring R.M.B.C, Rotherham NHS Foundation trust and other agencies provide solutions to the inconsiderate parking of staff and visitors to the Rotherham NHS Foundation trust Hospital on the Duke of Norfolk Estate.

The recommendation of that report was to continue discussions with Rotherham NHS Foundation trust in order to secure funding for a proposed controlled parking zone associated with the redevelopment of the Hospital. Following discussions with the Hospital trust it is apparent that there are no plans to develop the hospital such that the previously mentioned controlled parking zone could be funded.

The Fire Service brought to our attention, concerns they had about access for their appliances; consequently waiting restrictions were promoted as shown on drawing 126/18/TT514-A1.

The proposals where advertised 25 March 2011, there have been 81 Letters received, 1 in favour of the scheme as proposed and the rest whilst not strictly objections, are representations wishing for additional restrictions of a double yellow line outside number 46 and between 9 and 11 Queensway and a single yellow lines to cover all roads that don't have a proposed double yellow line restriction. Also received was 1 petition with 181 signatures, requesting the same additional restrictions.

The recommendations of this report is to implement the restrictions as consulted up on, and to monitor them for 2 months once work is complete to evaluate any issues that may arise from these restrictions and re-consult with the residents on various additional waiting restriction options, should these be necessary.

8. Finance

The traffic regulation order and associated works to introduce the restrictions is estimated to cost approximately £3,000.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Proposed restrictions may lead to displaced parking else where on the estate.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implication

The proposals are in line with objectives set out in the South Yorkshire Local Transport Plan, particularly in terms of demand management and congestion.

Page 3

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Parking issues on Queensway were the subject of a report to Cabinet Member on 21/09/09, Minute number 58 refers, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A Scheme drawing 126/18/TT514-A1 a copy of which is attached as Appendix B Example of Residents letter a copy of which is attached as Appendix C Front page of petition is attached as Appendix D

Contact Name: Richard Pardy, Assistant Engineer, Ext. 22959,

Richard.pardy@rotherham.gov.uk

COUNCIL MEETING - 22/07/09

9A

COUNCIL MEETING 22nd July, 2009

Present:- The Mayor (Councillor S Ali) (in the Chair); Councillors Akhtar, Atkin, Austen, Barron, Blair, Clarke, Currie, Cutts, Dodson, Donaldson, Doyle, Falvey, Fenoughty, Foden, Gamble, Gosling, Goulty, J. Hamilton, N. Hamilton, Hughes, Hussain, Jack, Kaye, Kirk, Lakin, License, Littleboy, McNeely, Mannion, Nightingale, Parker, Pickering, G. A. Russell, P. A. Russell, R. S. Russell, St. John, Sharman, Sims, Slade, Smith, Stone, Swift, Thirlwall, Turner, Tweed, Walker, Whelbourn, Whysall, Wootton, F. Wright and Wyatt.

A12 COUNCIL MINUTES

Resolved:- That the minutes of the annual meeting of the Council held on 15th May, 2009 be approved for signature by the Mayor.

Mover:- Councillor Stone

Seconder:- Councillor Sharman

A13 COMMUNICATIONS

- (1) The Chief Executive submitted the following petitions which had been referred to the appropriate Directorates for consideration:-
- From sixteen tenants of High Hazel Crescent, Catcliffe regarding their properties.
- From sixty-six residents of Queensway regarding parking arrangements.
- From twenty-eight residents of Heighton View, Aughton, Sheffield regarding the state of their gardens.
- From fifty-one residents of Clifton Bank regarding the need to take action to protect the conservation area.
- From 5,897 signatories calling on the services of Jamie Oliver to come and review the Wheels on Meals Service and to work with the Pensioners' Action Group and Trades Unions to turn the Laundry Service into a flagship of labour and expressing the belief the Council have shown a complete lack of care and commitment to the elderly/vulnerable people of the town and should improve and continue running these services with commitment.
- (2) The Chief Executive submitted apologies for absence from Councillors Boyes, Burton, Gilding, Havenhand, Hodgkiss, Johnston, Rushforth, Sangster, Sharp and S. Wright.

A14 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

A member of the public asked how could the Leader of the Council and his colleagues assist the residents of Queensway to resolve the hazardous parking situation on this residential road? The majority of residents on Queensway were in favour of a residents' parking scheme. What were the options for our road, taking account that we have had to

I agree with the need for extending the double yellow lines on Queensway and surrounding areas, however, I will only accept the planned scheme (as outlined in drawing No: 126/18/TT514-A1) provided that single yellow lines are included between the planned double lines (including the cul-de-sacs) with no waiting allowed Monday to Friday, 08.00 to 18.00 hrs.

Road: Queensway, S60 3EE

Date: 29/03/2011

House No	Name	Signature
2	MRS. E.W. DOYLE.	Salable
2	MR. 1. DOYLE.	Sala In Mail
1.5.	Mrs A. Fier	Const. No. 1
COURT.	Jean Mercer Chris	Amorcos.
Court.	MRS S. Smith	S. Smoth.
n	MR. A. SMITH	A- Sarth
7	Miss S Goacher	28 Janne
11	W. D. Rostalian 71.	A. S.
14	JL HORSMAN	At Howman
14	PHORSMAN	the same
20.	A SwIFT	A L
24	Kuis hallow	RUTH WALTON
24	Start	JOHN WALTON
42	WIWARING	Warcing
42	LAWARING	The same of the sa
38	I.F. WINSTAULTY	A.F. Wink
44	Matthews	JOAN MATTHEWS
44	, D Hainsworth	DAVID HAINSWORTH
44	Heriquan.	HILDA SEAGRAVE
46	PAUL SWIFT	Daul Smits
46	SUSAN SWIFT	3 ChainDI
90	JUNE SWIFT	18w8
84	J. R. BURROW	1 Kith Binni
/	M.E. BURROW	M. E. Burn
		The second second

Legal & Democratic Services Council Offices Doncaster Gate Rotherham S65 1DJ

Your ref. 126/18/1374S/RES

29 March 2011

Dear Sirs,

Proposed No Waiting At Any Time restrictions – Duke of Norfolk Estate - drawing No. 126/18/TT514.A1

We refer to your letter dated 25/03/2011 and write to formally object to your proposals unless they are modified as follows:-

- The double yellow lines to be extended on the north side of Queensway outside No.46 to match those proposed on the south side and double yellow lines to be included to close the gap on the south side of Queensway near nos. 9 and 11 for safety reasons and to stop parking on grass verges.
- 2. Single yellow lines to be included between the proposed double yellow lines with No Waiting from 08.00 hrs to 18.00 hrs Monday to Friday. Specifically, single yellow lines are required on both sides of the road in the following locations on your plan where there are no double yellow lines:- Queensway (including its cul-de-sacs), Woodfoot Road south from Queensway up to and including its junction with Mortain Road, Mortain Road, Lymister Avenue south from Mortain Road up to and including its junction with Stafford Drive, Hallam Road south from Queensway up to and including its junction with Norfolk Way, and Norfolk Way itself.

Your proposals, unless modified as above, would simply push the horrific hospital vehicle parking problem further into the Duke of Norfolk estate. Many residents would still have the dangerous problem of driving onto their road, often in reverse gear, blind between parked vehicles. Also, residents would still be likely to receive abuse when asking people to park more thoughtfully and safely. And, more importantly, it will ensure easy access for emergency, delivery and service vehicles throughout the estate.

The suggested modified scheme would bring the Duke of Norfolk estate back to the pleasant residential area envisaged by Rotherham Corporation when it sold the land in the early 1970's and included covenants regarding parking, boundary walls, fences and hedges to ensure that the estate was a pleasant, open residential area close to the town centre.

We trust that you will incorporate these modifications in your proposed scheme.

Yours faithfully,

